Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground – Results from CosmoALEPH

Claus Grupen



Universität Siegen

Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground-Results from CosmoALEPH - p. 1/50

Overview

- Introduction
- Primary and Secondary Cosmic Rays
- CosmoALEPH
- Data Set
- Decoherence curve
- Muon multiplicities
- Muon Spectrum
- Muon Charge Ratio
- Muon Tridents
- Outlook







Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 5/50







10 TeV photon shower in the atmosphere (J. Knapp)



10 TeV proton shower in the atmosphere (J. Knapp)



10 TeV iron shower in the atmosphere (J. Knapp)



10 TeV iron shower in the atmosphere (J. Knapp)

Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 11/50



100 TeV showers, high threshold for secondaries (J. Knapp)

Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 12/50

Measurement techniques

- the classical system: ground array of particle detectors (e.g. AGASA, Auger)
- air fluorescence (e.g. HiRes, Auger)
- measurement of the Cherenkov light (HESS, MAGIC)
- radio technique (LOPES, LOFAR)
- acoustic detection ?



Cosmic Rays Underground



Cosmic Rays Underground



Cosmic Rays Underground

LEP at CERN (Geneva) , CosmoALEPH -320 m.w.e.



What is measured underground



Some events



Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 18/50

Some events



Some events



muon bundle in the TPC with ≈ 150 muons

Location: overburden 125 m of molasse and rock (75 GeV cutoff for vertical incidence)

- Magnet (1.5 Tesla)
- TPC (Time Projection Chamber) Spatial resolution = 160 μ m Momentum resolution $\Delta p/p \approx 2.5\%$ at 50 GeV/c $\approx 60\%$ at 1.5 TeV/c

Maximum detectable momentum $\approx 3 \text{ TeV}$ Angular resolution < 2 mrad

HCAL (Hadron Calorimeter)

Layout of CosmoALEPH



Angular Distribution



originates from the barrel shape of ALEPH, and the trigger; large angles are cut off by the Jura; access shaft visible

Data Set

Coincidence rates between different detector stations (ALEPH and telescopes in the pit and the LEP tunnel) **Requirements:**

• clear muon tracks in ALEPH and muon hits in the telescopes

total number of events $\approx 9 \cdot 10^8$ for the years 1995 - 2000 $1.1 \cdot 10^6$ events from runs with a dedicated cosmic ray trigger in ALEPH (trigger rate 2.5*Hz*)

Measured coincidence rates



Measured coincidence rates

		malperserver	handerster	-			aland na maranda		
HANNE HANNE	Manpalipel	-		why have a strain	entry by a sharehold	-		mphatana	MAY MANNIN
coinc.	(4,6)	coinc.	(4,7)	- coinc.	(5,6)	coinc.	(5,7)	coinc.	(6,7)
			Mar Marsanpus And	-		Handrondrond	-		
plantymethologyun		-		water - show when the particular		- - - 1 mile 1. d 1. d.		aundunyhulyul	and an and a state
coinc.	(3,4)	coinc.	(3,5)	coinc.	(3,6)	- coinc.	(3,7)	⁻ coinc.	(4,5)
		 =			a al 166 bile granted 1				have been and the
- HAMMANNA MANANA	ulumuha upak	in Madymun p	white	- Tak ush mitukkat t	abilize di sitista	- Abulontraliournati	an Almanan Alman		
		-	/	-	/	- - J. J. H. Mariali	والعرب والعراب		
coinc.	(2,3)	coinc.	(2,4)	_ coinc.	(2,5)	- coinc.	(2,6)	coinc.	(2,7)
	National And and		14/4/where	and the product of th	Pril hand and a second				
-		-							
coinc.	(1,2)	coinc.	(1,7)	_ coinc. 1995	(2,7)				

Michael Schmelling

Analysis: Decoherence Distribution

The decoherence distribution is defined as coincidence rate per unit of time divided by the product of the areas of two detectors corrected for detector effects.

Rate
$$(m^{-4}day^{-1}) = \frac{N_{coin}}{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j a_i a_j S_i S_j \epsilon_{ov_i} \epsilon_{ov_j} T}$$

N_{coin} is the background-subtracted coincidence rate

- $\epsilon_{i,j}$ are the efficiencies of stations
- $a_{i,j}$ correction factors for geometrical acceptances
- $\epsilon_{ov_{i,i}}$ overburden correction factors
- $S_{i,j}$ the areas of detectors in m²
- *T* is the total effective up-time of stations in days

Details of the detector stations

Station	Gallery	ByC	Trolley	ByA	ByB	HCAL	Alcove
Area (m^2)	4.4	4.6	4.5	5.3	6.7	9.4	7.0
Stacks	5	5	5	6	4	*	8
Total events (10^7)	0.17	6.7	16.0	17.9	13.8	10.3	21.9
Total uptime (days)	10.8	534.8	849.4	868.7	775.8	470.8	750.5
Rate (Hz)	1.8	1.5	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.5	3.4
Correction for accep.	0.95	0.90	0.95	0.97	0.96	0.87	0.95
Efficiency	0.66	0.73	0.68	0.90	0.80	0.99	0.79
Correction for overb.	1.0	0.84	1.0	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.84

CORSIKA Simulations

Models: QGSJET, VENUS, SIBYLL and NEXUS

- About 10⁸ air showers of protons, He, and Fe nuclei primaries were generated
- Primary zenith angle θ range from 0° to 89°
- Primary energy in the range from 170 GeV to 10 PeV
- Energy cut-off for muons: $E_{\mu} = 0.55 \cdot (e^{\frac{0.4 \cdot 0.32}{\cos \theta}} - 1)$ [*TeV*]

Maryland Composition

MCM for protons, helium and iron

Composition model	Elements	γ	E_c (GeV)	γ ($E>E_c$)
	proton	2.75	$3.0 \cdot 10^5$	3.35
MCM	helium	2.77	6.0 · 10 ⁵	3.37
	iron	2.50	$8.4 \cdot 10^{6}$	3.10

Monte Carlo Coincidence Rates

Coincidence rates of muons for each simulated primary element for different hadronic models and compositions: best fit with the Nishimura-Kamata-Greisen (NKG) formula:

$$\rho_{\mu} = a \cdot \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^b \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_0}\right)^c$$

The constrained fit of the CosmoALEPH data is performed with the sum of obtained functions for protons, He and Fe and the contribution of each element is estimated.

Monte Carlo lateral distributions



Rodica Tcaciuc

Experimental distributions



Rodica Tcaciuc

Experimental distributions



Michael Schmelling



Rodica Tcaciuc



Rodica Tcaciuc



Michael Schmelling

Multiplicities in the ALEPH TPC



V. Avati et al., Astropart. Phys. **19** (2003) 513

Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 38/50

Multiplicities in the ALEPH TPC



V. Avati et al., Astropart. Phys. **19** (2003) 513

KASCADE results



KASCADE air shower experiment unfolding Karlsruhe 2008

Cosmic Rays from a Hole in the Ground– Results from CosmoALEPH – p. 40/50

Results: chemical composition

- It is very difficult to arrive at firm conclusions for the chemical composition of primary cosmic rays.
- The comparison of the measured CosmoALEPH decoherence distribution with the predictions from the CORSIKA models in the energy region 10² – 10⁷ GeV favours a light composition for most hadronic models.
- An exception is the VENUS model for the CMC spectra where a substantial amount of iron is found.
- The helium dominance for some models (e.g. QGSJET) is a surprise; but it is also found in KASCADE.

Muon Energy Loss



Muon Spectrum



Michael Schmelling

Muon Spectrum



Nadir Omar Hashim

Muon Charge Ratio



Michael Schmelling

Muon Charge Ratio



Nadir Omar Hashim

Muon Tridents



Florin Maciuc



muon spectrum dominated by low primary energies decoherence more sensitive to heavy primaries heavy primaries become more important at high energies Michael Schmelling

Conclusions/Outlook

- the determination of the chemical composition involves many open questions at the same time, like
- hadronic cross sections at energies beyond accelerator energies
- uncertain Monte Carlo simulations for propagating the primaries through the atmosphere
- conversion of the experimental quantities into energies
- below the knee most of the particles are protons
- at higher energies heavier elements start to dominate
- at very high energies not much is known

The CosmoALEPH Collaboration

A. Mailov; Technical University of Baku, Azerbaijan

- B. Jost, S. Schmeling, H. Wachsmuth; CERN, Geneve
- K. Zuber; TU Dresden
- B. Rensch; erphi electronics GmbH, Holzkirchen
- F. Maciuc, M. Schmelling; Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg
- A. Putzer; Universität Heidelberg
- Th. Ziegler; Hewlett-Packard, Geneve
- A.-S. Müller; Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe
- N.-O. Hashim; Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenia
- H.-G. Sander; Universität Mainz
- C. Grupen; **R. Tcaciuc**; Universität Siegen
- S. Luitz; SLAC, USA