#### Decoherence Curve and Chemical Composition in CosmoALEPH

#### Claus Grupen for the CosmoALEPH Collaboration

M. Schmelling, N.O. Hashim, C. Grupen, S. Luitz, F. Maciuc, A. Mailov, A.-S. Müller, H.G. Sander, S. Schmeling, R. Tcaciuc, H. Wachsmuth, K. Zuber Heidelberg, Nairobi, Siegen, Bukarest, Baku, Karlsruhe, Mainz, CERN, Dresden

## **Motivation**

The muon lateral distributions from Auger and **KASCADE-Grande are not in perfect agreement with** Monte Carlo predictions.

**CosmoALEPH only measures the muon component of** air showers underground.

**Possibly CosmoALEPH can throw some light on this** problem.



Juan Carlos Arteaga, KASCADE-Grande

Average muon content per energy



Auger (A. Aab et al. Phys. Rev. D 2015)

### **The ALEPH-experiment**



#### LEP at CERN (Geneva) , CosmoALEPH -320 m.w.e.

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Sensitivity of CosmoALEPH**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### CosmoALEPH (years 1995-2000)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Decoherence results**

$$D(d_{ij}) = \frac{c_{ij}}{T_{ij} F_i F_j A_i^{\perp} A_j^{\perp} g_i g_j \rho_{ij} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}$$

- $d_{ij}$  : distance between centers of stations *i* and *j*
- $c_{ij}$  : coincidences between stations *i* and *j*
- $T_{ij}$  : common open time
- $F_{i,j}$ : overburden corrections: flux(station)/flux(320mwe)
- $A_{i,i}^{\perp}$ : vertical areas of the two stations
- $g_{i,j}$ : geometrical acceptances of the stations
- $\rho_{ij}$  : combined effective area/product of effective areas
- $\varepsilon_{i,j}$  : single muon efficiencies

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

Michael Schmelling 2006

### Coincidences per m<sup>4</sup> and day

VENUS model: H.J. Drescher et al. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 75A (1999) 275

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Distance between two detectors [m]

Some excess at large separations: All used models have this problem.

### Fit to the CosmoALEPH data

#### (muon spectra and charge ratio)

power law assumed for the primary spectra,

γ spectral index

f<sub>h</sub> fraction of heavy nulcei

assumption for heavy nuclei in the Monte Carlo: 30 % He, 20% N, 30% Mg, 20% Fe (constant mass composition)

$$\frac{dn}{dE_h} = K f_h E^{-\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dn}{dE_p} = K (1 - f_h) E^{-\gamma}$$

Models assumed: DPMJET, EPOS, NEXUS, VENUS: fit for  $f_{\rm h}$  against  $\gamma$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

M. Schmelling et al. Astropart. Phys. 49 (2013) 1 - 5

### Result of the fit:

# $f_h < 0.41$ and $2.47 < \gamma < 2.59$

A number on the flux of cosmic ray primaries above 100 GeV comes out to be

 $\Phi = 3.74 \pm 0.61 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ 

in good agreement with other measurements

### **Multiplicity Distributions**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

19-06-91 8:13 Run 11656 Event 1864

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

high multiplicity Event in the ALEPH TPC

> 150 tracks

#### CORSIKA, QGSJET; p<sub>u</sub> > 70 GeV

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

V. Avati et al. Astropart. Phys. 19 (2003) 513- 523

### Conclusions

The decoherence curve – sensitive to the PeV region favours a dominantly light composition

The derived primary energy spectrum is in agreement with expectations

The high-end of the multiplicity distribution indicates a higher fraction of heavy primaries

High-resolution detectors allow precise results in cosmic rays studies

# graphic conclusions

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

F. Maciuc et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 021801